

**MINUTES FOR BOARD MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE, INTERIOR DESIGN AND RESIDENTIAL DESIGN
March 28, 2012
Board Conference Room, 2080 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 120, Las Vegas, NV 89119**

Wednesday, March 28, 2012

Chairman Greg Erny called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Roll Call: Greg Erny, Chairman; John Klai, Secretary/Treasurer; Kimberly Ciesynski, George Garlock, JoAnn Oppenheimer, William Snyder, Sean Tanner, and Larry Tindall.

Also in attendance: Gina Spaulding, Executive Director; Louis Ling, Legal Counsel; Betty Ruark, Chief Investigator; Laura Bach, Monica Harrison, and Tammy Bond, staff.

AGENDA ITEM 1 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of Consent Agenda

Consent agenda included the following:

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of Minutes: January 18, 2012
- C. Secretary/Treasurer Report
 - 1. Nevada Architect, Registered Interior Designer and Residential Designer Licensing Statistics
 - 2. Wells Fargo Bank Statements
- D. Ratification of Reciprocal Licenses (see attached list)
- E. Firm Name Approval Requests
 - 1. A. L. Morton Design Studio Architecture, LLC
 - 2. Brenner Design Incorporated
 - 3. Cool Architecture, PLLC
 - 4. GPD Associates, LLC
 - 5. DLR Group Architecture Inc.
 - 6. ID Studio
 - 7. MA Architecture and Planning, Inc.
 - 8. Parallel Lines Studio, LLC
- F. Firm Registration Approval Requests
 - 1. Callison Architecture, LLC
 - 2. Bill Poss and Associates, Architecture and Planning, P.C.
 - 3. Ultryx Design Group
 - 4. LG Architects, Inc.
 - 5. LGA

Architects: Registration by reciprocity

6767	Todd A. Henderson	6776	Chad M. Nielsen
6768	Randall C. Vaughn	6777	Gregory S. Robson
6769	James L. Brian	6778	Stanis I.R. Smith
6770	Manuel A. Funes	6779	Kraig A. Beilharz
6771	Brian Wickersham	6780	Andrew A. Burell
6772	Donald N. Tippet	6781	Keith E. Hayes

6773 Thanyapat Cholvibul 6782 Pierre O. Langué
6774 John S. Cooper 6783 Jean M. Yien
6775 Edward Mojica

Residential Designers: Registration by reciprocity

315-P Leonard A. Bergman
316-P James D. Molder

Board members requested agenda item 2E-4 and 2E-5 and 2F-4 and 2F-5 be pulled from the consent agenda.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the consent agenda, items 1 through 2E-3 and 2E-6 through 2F-3.
Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 2E-4 Firm name approval request: GPD Associates, LLC

Tindall told the board that GPD Associates, LLC gave inaccurate information by explaining the acronym used in the proposed firm name stands for ‘Good Professional Designers.’ Tindall said GPD Group, a large Ohio firm, is located at the same address listed on the firm name approval application. The principal listed on the application is also employed by GPD Group.

Motion: Tindall moved to approve the firm name request for “GPD Associates, LLC” contingent upon the applicant providing, in writing, the reason for the disingenuous explanation of the meaning of the acronym “GPD” as opposed to the actual name, “GPD Group.” Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 2E-5 Firm name approval request: DLR Group Architecture Inc.

Klai asked what DLR stands for. Item was tabled pending staff finding the origin. The explanation was later given that DLR stands for the company’s founders, Irv Dana, Bill Larson, and Jim Roubal. The company was founded in 1966.

Motion: Klai moved to approve the firm name request for “DLR Group Architecture Inc.” Motion seconded by Garlock.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 2F-4 Firm registration approval request: LG Architects, Inc.

Klai asked why both 2 F-4 and 2 F-5 have been submitted. Spaulding said the partnership of Ray Lucchesi & Craig Galati has dissolved. Spaulding said they are asking for approval of both firms so they can do business as LG Architects, Inc., with plans to transition to LGA. Using acronyms, the firm’s name will become fictitious as the board defines fictitious. Lucchesi Galati will remain active until the statute of limitations runs out on projects under that name.

Garlock asked why an application is being presented listing Lucchesi as an owner if he is no longer involved with the company. Spaulding said he still has the ownership and the two proposed firms are the vehicle to

keep them in compliance with NRS 623.349 during the transition to change the firm name from Luchessi Galati and eventually move to LGA.

Motion: Klai moved to approve the firm name request for “LG Architects, Inc.” Motion seconded by Garlock.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 2F-5 Firm registration approval request: LGA

Erny said that his question about the relationship between LG Architects, Inc. and LGA has been answered.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the firm name request for “LGA.” Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 3A Deliberations/Action on applications for registration: Architect

Klai swore in the following individuals as architects:

1. Mark Labaj.....6784
2. Kevin Merkling.....6785

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as architects. Motion seconded by Tanner.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 3B Deliberations/Action on application for registration: Registered Interior Designer

Klai swore in the following individual as a registered interior designer:

1. Sally Brinkerhoff.....196-ID

Motion: Tanner moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individual as a registered interior designer. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Erny invited the new registrants to share any thoughts or concerns regarding the licensing and/or exam process.

A new architect said that he appreciated how much he learned from the supplemental quiz on the Nevada Revised Statutes and the Administrative Code that is required prior to registration.

Two of the new registrants thanked Monica for her efficiency and how helpful she was throughout the entire process.

The new registered interior designer said that NCIDQ took four months to release exam results.

One of the new architects said he would like to see Nevada allow ARE testing concurrent with doing NCARB's Intern Development Program (IDP). When asked what sections of the ARE he would have taken earlier if given the option, he said he would have taken the structural exam first. Spaulding said that while there has not been an upswell of candidates asking to address this issue, the AIA has requested a discussion at the June board meeting to gather the board's view on this topic. Some states, such as Nevada, are hindered by statutory or regulatory constraints. When the board discussed this topic a couple of years ago they felt that the ARE is intended to be a practice-based exam and candidates are better served to go through the intern process before testing, as is stipulated now in regulations.

Klai said the board would be interested in hearing from Reno's active emerging professionals group, yodeng-reno, regarding the sections of the ARE they would deem most appropriate if Nevada were to consider future concurrent testing in conjunction with IDP. Board chair, Erny, who is based in Reno, offered to make himself available to have that discussion when their group meets.

Spaulding told the new registrants that this is their board and to remember that we are always here and available for them.

AGENDA ITEM 4A-1 Case No. 09-028N – In the matter of Vincent Celano, Gonzalo Bustamante and Seed Design, Inc.

Motion: Tindall moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) and (b) by holding themselves out as being qualified to practice architecture and registered interior design for multiple projects located in Nevada without having certificates of registration issued by this Board.

During a search of the Internet, staff discovered the website www.seeddesignstudio.com which displayed work for two Nevada projects. Further investigation revealed a Facebook page with photos of four additional projects and two magazine articles in which the Respondents were interviewed about their recent work in Las Vegas. A search of the NSBA database returned no registrants for Seed Design.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project and a letter of response was received. The Respondents' case was discussed with Chief Investigator Ruark and the decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt Clause, an Effect on Licensure Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$6,000 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,500.

Staff recommends approval of the settlement agreement.

Vote: Klai recused himself. All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 4B Discussion and possible decision regarding closure of enforcement cases

Ruark recommended the following cases, which were investigated, for closure without disciplinary action:

09-014N	10-024N	11-021N	11-022N
12-016N	12-018N	12-019N	12-009N
12-023R	12-027N		

Motion: Klai moved to close the above-referenced cases. Motion seconded by Snyder.
Vote: Garlock recused himself. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 4C **Enforcement report**

There was no report.

AGENDA ITEM 5 **Discussion regarding LCB legal opinion dated January 24, 2012 to
Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick regarding AB 144 and SB 268 (2011 Session)**

This letter stems from a meeting held by Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick regarding the unintended consequences of AB 144 and SB 268 from the 2011 Session. Spaulding said that the majority of the questions addressed in this letter came from the Associated General Contractors (AGC) and deal with Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR).

Spaulding said that question JM-1 addressed the question our board posed in its letter to the LCB regarding the modified business tax threshold. Spaulding sought further clarification from the board and board counsel regarding subsection 4 of NRS 338.173. The bill is currently in deferment mode.

AGENDA ITEM 6A **Discussion and possible decision regarding the draft NCARB Resolutions
which will be presented for discussion at the NCARB Annual Meeting in
Minneapolis on June 20-23, 2012**

Spaulding led board members through the draft NCARB Resolutions.

Resolution 2012-A: Spaulding said this is a bylaw amendment that outlines the transfer of voting rights from one voting delegate to another.

Resolution 2012-B: Spaulding said this resolution has been withdrawn.

Resolution 2012-C: Spaulding said this is a bylaw amendment that says all bylaw amendments require 2/3 majority vote which follows Iowa law where NCARB is incorporated. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-D: Spaulding said this is a miscellaneous bylaw amendment designating that staff prepares the budget and then it is reviewed by the Executive Committee before it is presented to the board. Spaulding said the majority of these bylaw amendments are just putting into words what the actual practice has been. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-E: Spaulding said this amendment formalizes the longstanding practice that the president-elect assigns committee changes with approval by the board. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-F: Spaulding said this amendment removes the specific dollar amount of the annual membership dues from the bylaws. There will now be three years' notice prior to changing the fee structure. Now it will take a majority vote to raise dues versus the previous 2/3 vote. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-G: Spaulding said this is just a change in wording from ‘Regional Conferences’ to ‘Regions’ where it appears in the bylaws. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-H: Spaulding said this bylaw does not affect us because we have our own regulations and statutes. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-I: Spaulding said this bylaw amendment broadens Model Regulation and the Rules of Conduct to include verification of qualifications in connection with the IDP. Ethically, architect supervisors have an obligation to verify the work experience completed by interns in a timely and accurate manner to support the intern’s pursuit of the IDP and initial licensure. Garlock said the requirements here are not descriptive enough and he does not see where this amendment serves any purpose at all to implement something to help the interns. The other board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-J: Spaulding said that the next few bylaw amendments deal with the addition of the Canadian Education Evaluation alternative which is the Canadian version of our EESA Evaluation. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-K: Spaulding said this bylaw amendment simply corrects an error in the requirements for certification of Canadian architects. It was improperly placed in Chapter 1. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-L: Spaulding said this is a bylaw amendment to correct the Canadian Examination requirements. It is no longer administered in French and has not been since 2001. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

Resolution 2012-M: Spaulding said this is another correction to the Canadian Equivalency requirement. Board members did not express opposition to this resolution.

AGENDA ITEM 6B **FYI: 2012 Candidate Resumes for NCARB officer positions**

Spaulding said this item was provided for board members’ information.

AGENDA ITEM 6C **FYI: NCARB News Clips for February 2012**

Erny emphasized to the board the importance of the upcoming 2012 Practice Analysis of Architecture and said it will be used to significantly impact the future of the profession.

AGENDA ITEM 6D **FYI: NCARB Fast Facts-February 2012**

Spaulding said this item was provided for board members’ information.

AGENDA ITEM 7 **Board Counsel Report**

To update the board regarding Case Nos. 08-080R and 11-019R in the matter of Dennis Rusk, Ling reported that Rusk filed a new brief with the Regional Justice Court, submitting documents not yet seen by the board.

Ling said the board's response to Rusk's brief is due April 20. Ling will notify the board and staff once a hearing date has been set. The filing of this brief by Rusk does not affect the board's enforcement of the current order.

AGENDA ITEM 8 **Review and discussion regarding possible bill draft for the 2013 Legislative Session**

Spaulding said that in the next few weeks the board would be asked to submit any bill requests for the 2013 Legislative Session.

Spaulding said the board office currently has applicants with 4-year pre-professional architectural degrees wanting to become registered interior designers. The board discussed potential revisions to the current application and binder review process and agreed to propose an amendment to NRS 623.192 allowing for a path to licensure as a registered interior designer for individuals with architecture degrees as follows:

**Nevada State Board of Architecture, Interior Design and Residential Design
2013 Legislative Session**

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO NRS 623.192

NRS 623.192 Certificate of registration to practice interior design: Qualifications of applicants; accreditation of program of interior design; standards for examination; oath.

1. An applicant for a certificate of registration to practice as a registered interior designer must be of good moral character and submit to the Board:

(a) An application on a form provided by the Board;

(b) The fees required pursuant to [NRS 623.310](#);

(c) Proof which is satisfactory to the Board that the applicant has at least 2 years of experience in interior design;

(d) Proof which is satisfactory to the Board that the applicant has successfully completed:

(1) A program of interior design accredited by the Council for Interior Design Accreditation or any successor in interest to that organization;

(2) A substantially equivalent program of interior design approved by the Board; or

(3) A program of interior design **or architecture**, other than a program described in subparagraph (1) or (2), which culminated in the award of a bachelor's degree or higher degree more than 5 years before the date of the application if the applicant possesses a combination of education and experience in interior design deemed suitable by the Board;

(e) A certificate issued by the National Council for Interior Design Qualification as proof that the applicant has passed the examination prepared and administered by that organization; and

(f) All information required to complete the application.

2. The Board shall, by regulation, adopt the standards of the National Council for Interior Design Qualification for the experience required pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (c) of subsection 1 as those standards exist on the date of the adoption of the regulation.

3. Before being issued a certificate of registration to practice as a registered interior designer, each applicant must personally appear before the Board to take an oath prescribed by the Board.

4. Any application submitted to the Board may be denied for any violation of the provisions of this chapter.

Motion: Klai moved to submit the proposed amendment to NRS 623.192 as a bill draft for the 2013 Legislative Session. Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 9A FYI: NCIDQ BOD meeting minutes for December 2011 and January 2012

Spaulding said this item was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 10 Residential Designer Issues

Tindall said he will make a presentation at the June board meeting regarding re-design of the residential design stamp.

Tindall discussed with the board the need for a steering committee with the purpose of working with the community colleges in Nevada to offer an associate degree for residential designers. Erny said this would add value to the programs offered by the community colleges in Nevada, as well. Klai thinks it's an appropriate time to move forward with curriculum within Nevada since we are the only state with this license. The two schools currently offering residential design curriculum are College of Southern Nevada (CSN) and Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC). The board chose Larry Tindall, residential design board member to lead the steering committee. Greg Erny volunteered to represent the board in Northern Nevada and George Garlock will represent the board in Southern Nevada.

AGENDA ITEM 11 Discussion regarding Larry Henry's resignation as a board member and possible decision regarding potential board member replacements

Erny said that Henry resigned as a board member. Henry suggested Northern Nevada architect, James Mickey as a potential replacement. Pat Pusich from Reno was also recommended as a potential replacement. Spaulding suggested that the board submit in writing their recommendations for a potential Northern Nevada candidate to the Governor's office.

Motion: Garlock made a motion for Spaulding to submit a recommendation by the board to the Governor's office in support of James Mickey and Pat Pusich for consideration as a potential board member. Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 12 Executive Director Report

Spaulding said that the computer server is in the process of being rebuilt and will be up and running again over the coming weekend.

Spaulding reminded the board that the NCARB Meeting will be held in Minneapolis, MN June 20-23, 2012. Both Klai and Spaulding's registrations are paid by WCARB. NCARB will fund one registration, which will be Greg Erny who was recently selected as NCARB Director for the region. Spaulding said the Nevada board will also pay for one registration and that spot could be utilized for the new board member. Spaulding asked if any other members wanted to attend. No other board members expressed interest in attending.

Spaulding said the next board meeting will be held in Las Vegas on Wednesday, June 13, 2012.

Spaulding asked the board for input on coordinating a strategic plan retreat or event to update the NSBAIDRD strategic plan in the coming fiscal year. Spaulding said she will budget for the event and for a potential facilitator contract, depending on the cost. Spaulding asked board members if they had any suggestions or recommendations for a strategic planning facilitator. Both Erny and Ciesynski said they had someone in mind. Spaulding said that once a potential facilitator is chosen, the state's contract approval process takes a minimum of three months. Spaulding said the board could do a self-assessment of the strategic plan without a facilitator as a potential option. Ciesynski liked the idea of a reasonably priced outside moderator. Board members discussed the possibility of the retreat being held the day before a board meeting to save travel expenses. Ciesynski said she has access to template documents on strategic planning with short but impactful activities that would help in the planning. Spaulding said the board has accomplished the majority of the goals in the existing strategic plan, and that it needs to be updated to reflect new goals and objectives.

AGENDA ITEM 15 **Formal Contested Administrative Hearing – In the matter of Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC, Case No. 10-018N, consideration and adjudication of the Complaint alleging violations of NRS 623.360.1(c) and NRS 623.365**

A formal hearing was held in the matter of Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC, Case No. 10-018N. Mr. Ward does not have a certificate of registration issued by this board. The respondents were alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1(c) by engaging in the practice of architecture on two separate projects.

In Attendance:

Rose Marie Reynolds, Counsel to the Board
Louis Ling, Prosecuting Attorney

Witnesses for the Prosecution:

Betty Ruark, NSBAIDRD Chief Investigator

Witnesses for the Defense:

None

Testimony was heard and evidence was introduced into the record, which substantiated the allegations against Respondents Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC.

Motion: Snyder moved that the State had established that the facts had been proven in the complaint against Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC. Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

The counts brought against Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC are as follows:

First Cause of Action:

By practicing architecture without a valid registration as evidenced by the construction documents submitted to the City of Fernley Building Department regarding the Round Table Pizza project, Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC violated NRS 623.360.1(c).

Motion: Snyder moved that the first cause of action has been proven that Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC violated NRS 623.360.1(c) regarding the Round Table Pizza project. Motion seconded by Tanner.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Second Cause of Action:

By practicing architecture without a valid registration as evidenced by the construction documents submitted to the City of Fernley Building Department regarding the Tahoe Creamery project, Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC violated NRS 623.360.1(c).

Motion: Snyder moved that the second cause of action has been proven that Gary Ward and Virtek Design, LLC violated NRS 623.360.1(c) regarding the Tahoe Creamery project. Motion seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Penalty Phase:

Motion: Klai moved to:

- Impose an administrative fine of \$7,000 (\$5,000-Round Table Pizza project; \$2,000 Tahoe Creamery project).
- Order payment of all fees and costs associated with the investigation and prosecution of this matter in the amount of \$3,750.
- Order that payment of all fines, fees, and costs shall be received by the board's office no later than six months from the effective date of the order.

Vote: Klai in favor. All others opposed. Motion fails.

Motion: Garlock moved to:

- Impose an administrative fine of \$20,000 (\$10,000 for each cause of action).
- Order payment of all fees and costs associated with the investigation and prosecution of this matter in the amount of \$3,750.
- Order that payment of all fines, fees, and costs shall be received by the board's office no later than six months from the effective date of the order.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 13 Public Information Report

Bond told the board that the latest issue of *Focus*, published last week, was provided to them in the handouts.

She also said that Spaulding will be training her up to go into the schools and give presentations to students regarding the three professions. Garlock asked what schools we plan to visit. Spaulding said we typically visit both UNLV and UNR. Ciesynski told the board that this is UNR's last year to have an interiors program. We will also be reaching out to the community colleges as well as to the Art Institute and the International Academy of Design and Technology (IADT), the other accredited interior design programs in Nevada. Garlock suggested we visit A-TECH High School, a Las Vegas magnet school with a drafting program, as well. Spaulding said we would add them to the list of potential schools.

AGENDA ITEM 14 Discussion and possible decision regarding compliance by Dennis Rusk, Architect #1309 with the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Case Numbers 08-080R and 11-019R

Erny introduced the agenda item and acknowledged, for the record, that neither Dennis Rusk nor his attorney, Richard Vilkin were in attendance. Board members Tindall and Garlock recused themselves.

Spaulding said handouts were provided in addition to the information in the e-books in regards to this agenda item.

Erny said the board will need to take action today based on the stipulations in the order and the additional documentation provided.

Ling, referring to the order, read Paragraph 4C, page 10 of 12, "If Mr. Rusk does not submit to the board's office written evidence of his satisfactory completion of the five courses listed in Paragraph 4A either by March 21, 2012 or by the extended deadline set by the board at its January 18, 2012 meeting (if the board grants such an extension), then Mr. Rusk's registration shall be suspended on the next day without further action by the board and shall be suspended thereafter for a period of six months. If Mr. Rusk does not complete the coursework by the end of the six month suspension period, his registration shall continue to be suspended until such time as he provides written evidence of satisfactory completion of all ordered coursework."

Rusk's attorney, Richard Vilkin, sent a letter to Ling on March 16, five days before the deadline, explaining Mr. Rusk's circumstances. Ling responded on March 22 requesting additional documentation to aid the board in making a decision. On March 27, 2012 Ling received Mr. Vilken's response and a letter from Rusk's doctor. Mr. Rusk asked the board to excuse his failure to meet the March 21 deadline and grant him an extension of time to complete the required coursework by May 4, 2012.

Spaulding said upon receipt of Rusk's request for an extension, board staff sent a subpoena to the testing center that administers the ICC exams, Pearson VUE, to see if he had scheduled any exams. She said that staff was informed today that Mr. Rusk did call to inquire about the exams but did not actually set up any scheduled exam dates. Staff was also informed by Pearson VUE that scheduling and taking an exam requires a minimum of three days notice. Spaulding said the period covered by the subpoena was from January 1 through the current date.

Motion: Ciesynski moved to suspend Mr. Rusk's license for failure to provide adequate documentation of passage of the coursework required by the deadline of the order. Tanner seconded the motion.

Vote: None were in favor. Motion failed.

Erny called for a new motion.

Motion: Snyder moved to grant the extension with the understanding that if the prescribed coursework is not received by May 4, 2012, Mr. Rusk's license will be immediately suspended for a period of six months. The motion was seconded by Klai.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

Erny commented that further documentation provided to the board in the future must be originals.

AGENDA ITEM 16 Items for future agenda

- Review of new CIDA standards and possible update of RID Substantially Equivalent application
- Discussion for possible future bill draft item: review and seal for prototypical plans
- Discussion regarding amending Nevada's CEU requirements to mirror NCARB's model law
- Strategic plan

- Use of AIA appellation by architects who have a lapsed license

AGENDA ITEM 17 Public comment

There was no public comment.

Chairman Erny adjourned the meeting at 4:30 p.m.

John Klai, Secretary/Treasurer

Gina Spaulding, Executive Director