

**MINUTES FOR BOARD MEETING OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE,
INTERIOR DESIGN AND RESIDENTIAL DESIGN**

June 11, 2014

Board Conference Room, 2080 East Flamingo Road, Suite 120, Las Vegas, NV 89119

Wednesday, June 11, 2014

Chairman Greg Erny called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Roll Call: Greg Erny, Chairman; George Garlock, Acting Secretary/Treasurer; Jim Mickey, William Snyder, Kimberly Ciesynski, and Sean Tanner. Sandy Peltyn arrived at 9:47am. John Klai and Larry Tindall were absent.

Also in attendance: Gina Spaulding, Executive Director; Louis Ling, Legal Counsel; Betty Ruark, Chief Investigator; Monica Harrison, Laura Bach and Tammy Bond, staff.

AGENDA ITEM 1 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

AGENDA ITEM 2 Approval of Consent Agenda

Consent agenda included the following:

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of Minutes: March 26, 2014
- C. Secretary/Treasurer Report
 1. Nevada Architect, Registered Interior Designer and Residential Designer Licensing Statistics
 2. Wells Fargo Bank Statements
 3. March 2014 QR Statement
- D. Ratification of Reciprocal Licenses (see attached list)
- E. Firm Name Approval Requests
 1. RBA Architecture
 2. Randy L. Barnes Architect P.C.
 3. Michael Crowe Architect LLC
 4. Doug Walton/Architect Inc.
 5. KRI Design
 6. Ratliff Architecture, PC
 7. LJ ARCHITECTURE & PLANNING
 8. Logan Home Design
 9. DRa
 10. CMC Architecture, PC
 11. VEGA ARCHITECTURE LLC
- F. Firm Registration Approval Requests
 1. KWDG
 2. CO/FXFOWLE ARCHITECTS LLC
 3. B&V Design, LLC
 4. Vargas Architecture LLC
 5. StudioPlusNV LLC
 6. INNOVATIVO DESIGN, INC.

Architects: Registration by reciprocity

7080	Marc J. Albertin	7097	Jaime D. Dreyer
7081	Michael J. DeRevere	7098	James A. Lastovica
7082	Christopher G. Kirschner	7099	Grace H. Kim
7083	David W. Ohlemeyer	7100	Brit K. Probst
7084	Walter K. Wiseman	7101	Theodore P. Sottong
7085	Larry G. Boardman	7102	Brian W. Erickson
7086	Eddie D. Daniels	7103	Gerarld W. Goldbach
7087	Matthew R. Kilgore	7104	Paul F. Madigan
7088	Christopher Zemanek	7105	David B. Robbins
7089	Dell H. DeRevere	7108	Heidi L. Blau
7090	Jason A. Dontje	7109	Kelly M. Farrell
7091	Thomas W. Chessum	7110	Warren G. Feldman
7092	Gerard F.X. Geier II	7111	Michael R. Payton
7093	Eyal C. Perchik	7112	Daniel G. Scovill
7094	Thomas F. Tedrow	7113	Stan W. Bezue
7095	Tony L. Callaway	7114	William J. Stephens
7096	Paul W. Deppe		

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the consent agenda. Motion seconded by Snyder.
Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3A Deliberations/Action on applications for registration:
Architect**

Garlock swore in the following individuals as architects:

1. Ahtahschwin Rex Noble...7107
2. Jenifer L. Panars.....7106

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individuals as architects. Motion seconded by Tanner.
Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

**AGENDA ITEM 3B Deliberations/Action on applications for registration:
Registered Interior Designer**

Garlock swore in the following individual as a registered interior designer:

1. Mehdi Rafaty.....207-ID

Motion: Ciesynski moved to approve the registration of the above referenced individual as a registered interior designer. Motion seconded by Tanner.
Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

After the board conducted the swearing-in and registration ceremony, Erny introduced Randy Lavigne, Hon. AIA, Executive Director of AIA Nevada and AIA Las Vegas. The new registrants were recognized for their milestone accomplishments of becoming registered in the state of Nevada and Lavigne presented each of them with a Certificate of Recognition on behalf of the AIA and their members. She said she was honored to be a part of seeing them being launched into their professions and wished them continued success and best wishes in their future endeavors.

Erny told the new registrants that becoming officially licensed to practice in Nevada affords them the opportunity to offer the board any feedback, constructive comments, or concerns regarding their entire exam experience and the licensing process.

New registrants shared that the best recent change in the exam process has been NCARB's new online exam result notification. One registrant said that while the exam process can be a bumpy, difficult road at times, it is fine because if it was an easy process everyone would be getting licensed. Another registrant added that anything beneficial is worth it.

Erny asked what part of the process seemed more lengthy than necessary so that he can share any concerns at the national level regarding the path to licensure. One registrant said there was procrastination on his part and suggested candidates take a quicker route than he did. Another registrant said she would advise those on the path now to be cautioned that once they start a career the demands of everyday life get in the way of completing the journey to licensure in a timely manner. One registrant said she passed all exams in one year because she was diligent in getting through the process.

AGENDA ITEM 4 **Discussion and possible decision regarding Jeff Grover's request to complete a residential project pursuant to the provisions of NAC 623.770.**

Grover addressed the board explaining that he has been asked to take over a residential design project that requires completion by a new designer as the original designer, Neal Gaskin passed away while the project was in the plans examination process. Spaulding said the board needs to vote on this issue to allow the board to give approval to a registrant when someone passes away without having to have the completed plans redrawn. The director would then, upon board's approval, address a letter to the building department giving Jeff Grover authorization to complete the project.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve drafting a letter of authorization for Jeff Grover to complete the project. Motion seconded by Mickey.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 5 **Discussion and possible decision regarding the residential design application of David D. Barnes pursuant to NAC 623.280 and NAC 623.410.**

Mr. Barnes who was previously licensed in Nevada as a residential designer let his license lapse and since it has been more than three years he is required to appear before the board with a new application to regain licensure in Nevada as a residential designer. She said a registrant who has allowed their license to lapse for more than three years must be issued a new license number upon approval of the application by the board.

Barnes said that he relocated out of the area letting his license lapse during the downturn of the economy. He said that he has now relocated to Nevada, loves Nevada, and intends to make a life here again.

Spaulding said there are no disciplinary issues to consider and that his license lapsed in 2009.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the residential design application of David D. Barnes. Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 6 **Discussion and possible decision regarding whether a Nevada architect is permitted to design a medical marijuana dispensary**

Erny said this topic was being brought before the board in the context of model law that states that a Nevada architect shall not violate any federal laws. He said that even though the dispensing of medical marijuana is now allowed under Nevada state law, federal law does not recognize the dispensing of medical marijuana.

Erny asked for Ling's legal perspective on the issue. Ling said other Nevada boards he represents that have similar language in their practice acts are currently asking similar questions. He said that while it is currently a crime under federal law, the boards cannot discipline a registrant for obtaining and assisting someone with a medical marijuana card. Ling said that in his research he found no case law stating that a designer cannot design a growth facility. He also said that the NCARB Model Law also does not prohibit them from designing a growth facility. Ling said that unless and until there is a federal law prohibiting the design of such a facility, it should be allowed.

Garlock asked Ling how the board should advise staff regarding phone calls from the public and registrants on this issue. Ling said it is best to tell them that the present law does not prohibit registrants from designing medical marijuana dispensaries. Additionally, Ling said that it is most important that all callers be advised to consult with their own legal counsel, as well.

Erny said it appropriate to have a carefully worded response for staff to use when they receive these inquiries. Ling said it would be a good idea to also post this on the board website.

Board members advised that in the meantime until that statement is published, Ling advise the board on what callers will be told. Ling said it would be best to say that there is nothing to prohibit a designer from contracting to design a medical marijuana growth facility or dispensary. The board directed staff to publish a newsletter article addressing the issue and post a notice on the website.

Motion: Garlock moved to direct staff to craft language for board review at the next board meeting on how staff will address inquiries regarding registrants designing medical marijuana dispensaries in Nevada. Motion seconded by Mickey.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 7 **Discussion and update regarding the Board/AIA sponsored CEU program held on May 22, 2014 in Las Vegas, and discussion and decision regarding date and program content for Reno CEU event for 2014**

Spaulding said that Garlock and Mickey were in attendance. She said that there was one speaker for the entire day and said she needed board member input regarding whether or not the same program should be presented at the Northern Nevada CEU session in Reno in December.

She said she provided the evaluation forms completed by attendees with comments and feedback for board review. Garlock, who was in attendance at the CEU session highly recommended the same program be presented for Northern Nevada in December. He said there is such great value for the board and AIA to offer eight hours of ADA. He said that for such a dry subject it was very well presented. He added that it was a terrific seminar with a great facility and a great speaker. He said it could be improved upon by Ms. Kent making more Nevada references versus the many California references she made during her presentation.

Erny said that concerns mentioned in the evaluation forms regarding technical difficulties during the seminar will be addressed. Another board member suggested that more visual examples would help the subject matter keep the audience more engaged for a visual audience rather than continual presentation of text on the screen. He added that it was great information that he learned quite a bit from. Board members asked that comments from the evaluations be shared with Ms. Kent, the presenter, for her benefit to offer feedback and aid in potential future presentations.

Spaulding said that this year registrants paid a fee of \$30 to cover the lunch and this reduced the amount of no shows because they had at least a minimal investment in the day's program.

Spaulding asked for board input regarding finding a Northern Nevada venue. She said the Northern Nevada AIA will be handling logistics but would like board input. Garlock suggested we consider offering continuity by offering similar venues each time. Board members agreed that a venue like Silver Legacy where last year's Northern Nevada session was held benefited out of town registrants who needed lodging. Mickey agreed to pursue a potential Northern Nevada venue option for the December seminar.

Spaulding reminded the board that the board provides the speaker, AIA provides the lunch and they jointly handle registering the attendees. She said AIA collects the \$30 fee.

Spaulding said as a result of the discussion she will invite Janis Kent to be the presenter at the December seminar in Reno. Spaulding asked the board to be thinking about great topics and potential speakers for 2015 taking into consideration all the various topics suggested by attendees on the evaluation forms. Garlock said he has had several design professionals say they really like that the state board has followed through with their commitment to provide continuing education seminars to enable them to meet the Nevada requirement in one day.

After some discussion it was suggested that Green Energy and the legal aspects of the design professions be considered as two general topics for future seminars based on attendee comments.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-1 Case No. 13-053 N – In the matter of James Young and James Young Designs, LLC

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1(a), NRS 623.360.1(b), and NRS 623.360.1 (c) by holding themselves out as being qualified to practice architecture, registered interior design and residential design and engaging in the practice of architecture, registered interior design and residential design for three projects located in Nevada, without having been issued a certificate of registration from the Board.

A search of the NSBA records revealed the Respondent did not hold a certificate of registration with the Board. Further investigation revealed the Respondent had a Facebook page and website www.jamesyoungdesign.com, which advertised the Catalyst RX Building in Las Vegas and the Galileo of Lake Las Vegas Resort as projects designed by Respondent.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning the project and a response was received. The decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle the issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement and confession of judgment were negotiated. The settlement agreement incorporates a Guilt Clause, an Effect on Licensure clause, an Administrative Penalty of \$13,500 and Investigative Costs in the amount of \$2,000.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Mickey moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Garlock.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-2 Case No. 14-010N – In the matter of Tara Dudley and Spaces Southwest, LTD

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) and (c) by holding themselves out as being qualified to practice as a registered interior designer and by engaging

in the practice of registered interior design, for a project located in Nevada without having certificates of registration issued by this Board.

Staff received an anonymous complaint that the Respondent was holding herself out on her website www.spacessouthwest.com as being able to provide registered interior design services. Further investigation revealed that the Respondent had disseminated two proposals offering to provide services that fall under the practice of registered interior design and advertised that she provided commercial interior design services on Facebook, LinkedIn and a website called Houzz. Additionally, the Respondent specified the FF&E for a commercial project located in Nevada.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project and a letter of response was received. The Respondents' case was discussed with Chief Investigator Ruark and the decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt Clause, An Effect on Licensure Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$5,000 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,850.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-3 Case No. 14-011N – In the matter of Gulla Jonsdottir and G+ Designs

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (a) and (b) by holding themselves out as being qualified to practice architecture and registered interior design, for two projects located in Nevada without having certificates of registration issued by this Board.

During the investigation of a related case, the Respondent's website (www.gplusdesign.com) was discovered which revealed multiple photographs and a rendering of two Nevada projects, portraying these projects as their work. RESPONDENTS also included the Nevada projects and articles relating to the projects on their Facebook and LinkedIn pages as being designed by them. Further investigation revealed the RESPONDENTS submitted a proposal to the owner's representative for a restaurant in the Palms offering to provide services including, but not limited to: designing the facade, specifying finishes, preparing floor plans, elevations, reflected ceiling plans and finish boards.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project. The Respondents' chose to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$4,500 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,550.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-4 Case No. 14-017N – In the matter of Catherine Macfee, Catherine Macfee, Inc. and Catherine Macfee Interior Design

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) and (c) by holding themselves out as being qualified to practice as a registered interior designer and by engaging in the practice of registered interior design, for a project located in Nevada without having certificates of registration issued by this Board.

Staff located a Hospitality Design article naming Catherine Macfee as the designer for a recent redesign for the Gold Hill Hotel on Virginia City, Nevada. Further investigation revealed that the Respondents disseminated a proposal to the client to provide services that fall under the practice of registered interior design and engaged in the practice of registered interior design by specifying the FF&E.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project and a letter of response was received. The Respondents' case was discussed with Chief Investigator Ruark and the decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Non Admission of Guilt Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$2,000 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,550.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-5 Case No. 14-021N – In the matter of Akifumi Miyazono and Harumi Matsuo and Blank Creations, Inc. dba Blank Design

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1(a), NRS 623.360.1(b) and NRS 623.360.1(c) when they held themselves out as being qualified to practice architecture and registered interior design and engaged in the practice of architecture and registered interior design for a Japanese restaurant located in Nevada, without having been issued a certificate of registration from this Board.

A plans examiner from Clark County Development Services contacted the Board office to explain they had received a set of architectural drawings that were prepared by a designer from New York, but the plans were signed and submitted to the building department by a general contractor.

Subsequent investigation revealed that Blank Design entered into a contract with the Owner of the Gyu-Kaku restaurant to provide Programming through Contract Documents and also hired the general contractor to submit the drawings to the building department and construct the project.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning the project and a response was received. The decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle the issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement and confession of judgment was negotiated. The settlement agreement incorporates a Guilt Clause, an Administrative Penalty of \$30,000 and Investigative Costs in the amount of \$2,345.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Garlock moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Ciesynski.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-6 Case No. 14-022N – In the matter of Douglass Kim and Kim Construction and Associates, LLC

The Respondents are alleged to have violated NRS 623.330.1(d), NAC 623.0195, NRS 623.360.1(b) and NRS 623.360.1(c) by signing and submitting architectural drawings to Clark County Development Services for a tenant improvement project that were not prepared by the RESPONDENTS, a bona-fide employee of the RESPONDENTS, or a Nevada registered architect.

A plans examiner from Clark County Development Services contacted the Board office to explain they had received a set of architectural drawings that were prepared by a designer from New York, but the plans were signed and submitted to the building department by a general contractor.

Subsequent investigation revealed that Kim Construction signed the architectural drawings and submitted them to the Clark County Building Department under the contractor's exemption; however, Kim Construction did not prepare the architectural drawings pursuant to NRS 623.330.1(d).

Because Kim Construction signed and submitted architectural drawings to the Clark County Building Department for the Gyu-Kaku Japanese restaurant that were not prepared by Kim Construction or their bona-fide employee, Kim Construction is not exempt from Chapter 623 and Kim Construction's actions constitute the unlicensed practice of architecture under NRS 623.360.

The Respondents were sent a Notice of Charges concerning the project and a response was received. The decision was made to offer the Respondents an opportunity to settle the issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a Guilt Clause, an Administrative Penalty of \$10,000 and Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,850.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Snyder moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Tanner.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8A-7 Case No. 14-026N – In the matter of Hans Nettel

The Respondent is alleged to have violated NRS 623.360.1 (b) and (c) by engaging in the practice of architecture and residential design for a residential project located in Zephyr Cove, Nevada prior to having a certificate of registration issued by this Board.

During the review of his reciprocity application it was noted that the Respondent had answered 'yes' to the question, *Have you or any firm or business at which you practice architecture provided a proposal to a client, entered into a contract or agreement with a client, prepared drawings for a client, or otherwise performed architectural work for a project located or contemplated in Nevada?* The Respondent explained that he had been asked by a friend about a remodel for his house and agreed to do the work without realizing the project was in Nevada.

Subsequently, the Respondent prepared drawings to be submitted to the Douglas County Planning Department for a variance. When asked why he proceeded with the drawings after finding out the project was in Nevada, the Respondent stated that he is allowed to do residential design in California without having a license.

The Respondent was sent a Notice of Charges concerning this project and a letter of response was received. The Respondent's case was discussed with Chief Investigator Ruark and the decision was made to settle this issue informally rather than face a disciplinary hearing before the Board. A settlement agreement was negotiated incorporating a No Contest and an Effect on Licensure Clause and an Administrative Penalty of \$2,500 plus Investigative Costs in the amount of \$1,575.

Staff recommended approval of the settlement agreement.

Motion: Mickey moved to approve the settlement agreement. Motion seconded by Snyder.

Vote: All in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8B Discussion and possible decision regarding closure of enforcement cases

Bach recommended the following cases, which were investigated, for closure without disciplinary action:

13-040N 13-055N 14-013R 14-024N

Motion: Snyder moved to close the above-referenced cases. Motion seconded by Tanner.

Vote: Garlock and Mickey recused themselves. All others in favor. Motion passes.

AGENDA ITEM 8C Enforcement Report

Ruark said there was nothing to report at this time.

AGENDA ITEM 9A **Discussion and possible decision of the Final Resolutions for 2014 NCARB Annual Business Meeting in Philadelphia, PA on June 18-21, 2014**

Erny led a board review and discussion of each proposed Final Resolution to be voted on at the 2014 NCARB Annual Business Meeting. He said the resolutions were mostly housekeeping with the exception of Resolution 2014-05 which proposes that the bylaws be changed to require any candidate for election as NCARB Regional Director be required to hold an active NCARB Certificate at the time of nomination by their region.

AGENDA ITEM 9B **FYI: NCARB 2014 Pre-Annual Meeting Report**

Erny said this information was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 9C **FYI: NCARB CEO Update for March and April 2014**

Erny said this information was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 9D **FYI: NCARB BOD Brief for April 2014 Meeting**

Erny said this information was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 9E **FYI: NCARB Response to TXA Path to Architecture Licensure**

Erny said this information was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 9F **FYI: NCARB Fast Facts May 2014 Meeting**

Erny said this information was provided for board members' information.

AGENDA ITEM 10 **Update regarding the Nevada Residential Design Exam content**

Spaulding said staff is currently soliciting for volunteers in the Focus newsletter to serve in 2015 on the Residential Design Exam Writing Committee to re-write the exam. Garlock suggested involving recent candidates who have passed each exam in serving on the committee.

Spaulding also suggested that there be a board review of the next iteration of the exam prior to administering it to candidates.

She said the current residential design exam committee is scheduled to meet at the board office on Tuesday, July 15 to discuss the August 2014 and the February 2015 administration of the exam.

AGENDA ITEM 11 Registered Interior Design Issues

Ciesynski said there was nothing to report except that NCIDQ exam numbers are increasing.

AGENDA ITEM 12 Executive Director Report

Spaulding said there are two possible formal hearings scheduled for July 16, 2014 and will notify board members if they get resolved before that date.

She said as previously discussed the next CEU Seminar is scheduled for December 4, 2014 in Reno and want to keep on track to pursue a venue.

She said the next board meeting will be held in Reno on August 20 at the Peppermill.

Spaulding said a letter will be going out to all the building departments regarding the new residential design stamp and all registrants will be sent a letter giving notifying them that the board will be providing the stamp at no cost to the registrants who respond within an allotted timeframe. A notice will also be posted to the board's website. Spaulding said we will not be providing replacement wall certificates. There is a \$30 fee to replace them if registrants wish to order one through the board office.

Spaulding said there is a residential design candidate who has passed all exams but is out of the country and unable to attend a board meeting to get registered. After some discussion, board members agreed that he could be added to the agenda on the day of the formal hearings at the July 16 board meeting. Erny said we would post it on the agenda for July 16.

AGENDA ITEM 13 Board Counsel Report

Ling updated the board regarding the Rusk case by saying his latest order for reconsideration of his appeal was dismissed by a seven member Nevada Supreme Court en banc panel.

AGENDA ITEM 14 Public Information Report

Bond told the board that the 2014 Summer *Focus* newsletter was provided to them in handout format and not included in their e-book. She said among the articles in the newsletter there was one informing registrants of the recent Nevada Supreme Court Ruling regarding corporate ownership of architectural practices and an article citing each major change to the recently released 2014 edition of The Blue Book.

She told the board that July 18 would be her last day of employment with the board and that she is relocating to the Southeast region of Texas. She said one of the saddest parts about leaving after 27 years in Las Vegas is that she has thoroughly loved her job over the past nine years and thanked the board for the awesome opportunity to work for such an amazing group of people including the board members and her co-workers. Spaulding said Tammy will be missed and that they are happy for her opportunity.

AGENDA ITEM 15 Items for future agenda

- Residential Design Exam Writing Committee

AGENDA ITEM 16 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Chairman Erny adjourned the meeting at 12:14 p.m.

Gina Spaulding, Executive Director

John Klai, Secretary/Treasurer